

Private religiosity better predicts less hazardous drinking than public religiosity

Clayton Ulm,^{1,2} Jamie M. Gajos,³ Jessica Becerra,^{1,4} Adam E. Barry,⁵ Dingjing Shi,⁴ Emily T. Hébert,⁶ Chaelin K. Ra,⁸ & Michael S. Businelle^{1,7}

¹TSET Health Promotion Research Center, Stephenson Cancer Center, Oklahoma City, OK, USA; ²Department of Health Behavior, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ³Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, AL, USA; ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA; ⁵Department of Health and Kinesiology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA; ⁶Department of Health Promotion and Behavioral Sciences, UT Health School of Public Health, Austin, TX, USA; ⁷Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, USA ⁸Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, USA



businellelab.com/conferences

Background

- Higher religiosity is often associated with improved health behavior and outcomes.¹⁻³
- Both intrinsic and extrinsic religiosity are inversely related to hazardous drinking.⁴⁻⁵
- Few studies have directly compared these effects in a general sample of U.S. adults.

Table 3: Participant Demographics (*N* = 485)

Variable	<i>n</i> (%) or M±SD	Variable	M±SD
Female	396 (76.1%)	Age (years)	48.2±12.4
White	341 (70.3%)	Education (years)	14.5±2.2
Extrinsic Religiosity Past Year* Past Week*	6.9±2.3 0.3±0.8	Intrinsic Religiosity*	3.7±1.3

Results

Figure 1. Distribution of **Baseline AUDIT-C Scores**



Objective

Examine associations between intrinsic and extrinsic religiosity on hazardous drinking.

Study Design

U.S. adults completed <u>baseline</u> (*N* = 485) and 28-day follow-up (N = 458) surveys.

Baseline

Participants completed the AUDIT-C to assess hazardous drinking.

Table 1: The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test-Concise (AUDIT-C)

How often do you have a drink containing alcohol?	How many drinks containing alcohol do you have on a typical day when you are drinking?	How often do you have six or more drinks on one occasion?
0=Never, 4=4 or more times a week	0=1 or 2 drinks, 4=10 or more drinks	0=Never, 4=4 or more times a week

*Range: Past Year & Past Week (0-9), Intrinsic Religiosity (1-5)

Table 4: Correlations Between Measures of Religiosity

Variable	Extrinsic Religiosity		Intrinsic	
	Past Year	Past Week	Religiosity	
Past Year	1			
Past Week	0.56*	1		
Intrinsic Religiosity	0.52*	0.32*	1	
*p ≤ 0.05				



Figure 2. Associations Between Baseline AUDIT-C Scores and Religiosity



Table 5. Association Between Total AUDIT-C Scores and Religiosity (N = 485)

Measures of intrinsic and extrinsic religiosity.

Table 2: Measure of Religiosity

Extrinsic Rel	Intrinsic	
Past Year	Past Week	Religiosity
Apart from special occasions such as weddings and funerals, how often do you attend religious services?	During the past week, how often did you attend religious services?	Religion or spirituality is important to me.
0=Everyday, 9=Never	0=0, 9=9 or more	1=Strongly disagree, 5=Strongly agree
U-LVEIYUAY, 3-INEVEI	more	5=Strongly agree

28-Day Follow-Up

Participants reported weekly alcohol intake:

Past Week			0.88 (0.75, 1.02)
Gender	1.43 ** (1.11, 1.84)	1.49** (1.16, 1.91)	1.50 ** (1.17, 1.92)
Race/ethnicity	1.14 (0.89, 1.46)	1.09 (0.86, 1.40)	1.09 (0.86, 1.40)
Age	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)	0.99* (0.98, 1.00)	0.99* (0.98, 1.00)
Education	1.04 (0.76, 1.44)	1.06 (0.77, 1.46)	1.04 (0.75, 1.44)
Note: IRR=Incident risk ratio; Gender (female=0), Race (White=0), Education (HS			
or less=0); **p≤0.01, *p≤0.05; bold =significant.			

Table 6. Association Between Follow Up Weekly Alcohol Intake and Religiosity (N = 458)

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
	IRR (95% CI)	IRR (95% CI)	IRR (95% CI)
ntrinsic Religiosity	0.84 * (0.71, 0.98)		
Extrinsic Religiosity			
Past Year		0.87 ** (0.79, 0.96)	
Past Week			0.83 (0.63, 1.11)
Gender	2.00 ** (1.23, 3.26)	2.39 ** (1.45, 3.93)	2.17 ** (1.32, 3.58)
Race/ethnicity	1.06 (0.66, 1.71)	0.95 (0.60, 1.52)	0.98 (0.61, 1.56)
Age	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)
Education	0.90 (0.49, 1.64)	0.85 (0.46, 1.56)	0.92 (0.48, 1.73)
Vote: IRR=Incident risk ratio; Gender (female=0), Race (White=0), Education (HS			
$a = (a = a = 0)$, $\frac{1}{2}$, \frac			

Figure 3. Associations Between Baseline Religiosity and Follow-Up Weekly Alcohol Intake



On average, how many standard drinks containing alcohol do you consume in a *WEEK?* (0-21 or more).

Analyses

Negative binomial regression.

Model 1 - Intrinsic Religiosity.

- Model 2 Past Year Religious Attendance.
- Model 3 Past Week Religious Attendance.
- Controlling for demographic covariates (age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational status).

This study was supported by the Oklahoma Tobacco Settlement Endowment Trust (grant number R22-02) and used the mobile health shared resource of the Stephenson Cancer Center via an NCI Cancer Center Support Grant (grant number P30CA225520). or less=0); ** $p \le 0.01$, * $p \le 0.05$; **bold**=significant.

Conclusions

- Findings indicate that both intrinsic religiosity and past year religious attendance are related to lower hazardous drinking, with males demonstrating a stronger likelihood of hazardous drinking.
- Different aspects of religiosity may contribute to alcohol consumption and alcohol-related problems, which may call for a more nuanced approach to conceptualizing and operationalizing religiosity in future studies.

Considerations for Future Research

- <u>Self-report biases</u>: Religiosity and alcohol consumption measures may be influenced by social desirability or retrospective recall biases.
 - Intensive longitudinal interventions could examine mechanisms linking religiosity and alcohol consumption and alcohol problems over time.
- Interventions: Future interventions may tailor content to individual religiosity and spirituality preferences to mitigate hazardous drinking and promote healthier lifestyles.



Private religiosity better predicts less hazardous drinking than public religiosity

Clayton Ulm,^{1,2} Jamie M. Gajos,³ Jessica Becerra,^{1,4} Adam E. Barry,⁵ Dingjing Shi,⁴ Emily T. Hébert,⁶ Chaelin K. Ra,⁸ & Michael S. Businelle^{1,7}

¹TSET Health Promotion Research Center, Stephenson Cancer Center, Oklahoma City, OK, USA; ²Department of Health Behavior, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ³Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA; ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA; ⁵Department of Health and Kinesiology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA; ⁶Department of Health Promotion and Behavioral Sciences, UT Health School of Public Health, Austin, TX, USA; ⁷Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, USA ⁸Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, USA



businellelab.com/conferences

References

- 1. Strawbridge WJ, Shema SJ, Cohen RD, Kaplan GA. Religious attendance increases survival by improving and maintaining good health behaviors, mental health, and social relationships. *Ann Behav Med*. 2001;23(1):68-74. doi:10.1207/S15324796ABM2301_10
- 2. Benjamins MR, Trinitapoli J, Ellison CG. Religious attendance, health maintenance beliefs, and mammography utilization: Findings from a nationwide survey of Presbyterian women. *J Sci Study Relig*. 2006;45(4):597-607.
- 3. Roff LL, Klemmack DL, Parker M, Koenig HG, Sawyer-Baker P, Allman RM. Religiosity, smoking, exercise, and obesity among southern, community-

dwelling older adults. J Appl Gerontol. 2005;24(4):337-354.

- 4. Michalak L, Trocki K, Bond J. Religion and alcohol in the U.S. National Alcohol Survey: How important is religion for abstention and drinking? *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2007;87(2):268-280. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2006.07.013
- 5. Meyers JL, Brown Q, Grant BF, Hasin D. Religiosity, race/ethnicity, and alcohol use behaviors in the United States. *Psychol Med*. 2017;47(1):103-114. doi:10.1017/S0033291716001975

Email: <u>Clayton-Ulm@ouhsc.edu</u> or <u>rulm@unc.edu</u>